

Quantitative uniqueness for the power of Laplacian with singular coefficients

Ching-Lung Lin^{*} Sei Nagayasu[†] Jenn-Nan Wang[‡]

Abstract

In this paper we study the local behavior of a solution to the l th power of Laplacian with singular coefficients in lower order terms. We obtain a bound on the vanishing order of the nontrivial solution. Our proofs use Carleman estimates with carefully chosen weights. We will derive appropriate three-sphere inequalities and apply them to obtain doubling inequalities and the maximal vanishing order.

1 Introduction

Assume that Ω is a connected open set containing 0 in \mathbb{R}^n for $n \geq 2$. In this paper we are interested in the local behavior of u satisfying the following differential inequality:

$$|\Delta^l u| \leq K_0 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l-1} |x|^{-2l+|\alpha|} |D^\alpha u| + K_0 \sum_{|\alpha|=l}^{[3l/2]} |x|^{-2l+|\alpha|+\epsilon} |D^\alpha u|, \quad (1.1)$$

where $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$ and $[h] = k \in \mathbb{Z}$ when $k \leq h < k+1$. For (1.1), a strong unique continuation was proved by the first author [8]. A similar result for the power of Laplacian with lower derivatives up to l -th order can be found in [5]. On the other hand, a unique continuation property for the l -th power

^{*}Department of Mathematics, National Chung Cheng University, Chia-Yi 62117, Taiwan. Email: cllin@math.ccu.edu.tw

[†]Department of Mathematics, Taida Institute for Mathematical Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan. Email: nagayasu@math.ntu.edu.tw

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Taida Institute of Mathematical Sciences, NCTS (Taipei), National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan. Email: jnwang@math.ntu.edu.tw

of Laplacian with the same order of lower derivatives as in (1.1) was given in [11]. Those results mentioned above concern only the qualitative behavior of the solution. In other words, they showed that if u vanishes at 0 in infinite order or u vanishes in an open subset of Ω , then u must vanish identically in Ω . The aim of this paper is to study the strong unique continuation from a quantitative viewpoint. Namely, we are interested in the maximal vanishing order at 0 of any nontrivial solution to (1.1). It is worth mentioning that quantitative estimates of the strong unique continuation are useful in studying the nodal sets of eigenfunctions [2], or solutions of second order elliptic equations [6], [10], or the inverse problem [1].

Perhaps, for the quantitative uniqueness problem, the most popular technique, introduced by Garofala and Lin [3], [4], is to use the frequency function related to the solution. This method works quite efficiently for second order strongly elliptic operators. However, this method can not be applied to (1.1). Another method to derive quantitative estimates of the strong unique continuation is based on Carleman estimates, which was first initiated by Donnelly and Fefferman [2] where they studied the maximal vanishing order of the eigenfunction with respect to the corresponding eigenvalue on a compact smooth Riemannian manifold. Their method does not work for (1.1) either.

Recently, the first and third authors and Nakamura [9] introduced a method based on appropriate Carleman estimates to prove a quantitative uniqueness for second order elliptic operators with sharp singular coefficients in lower order terms. A key strategy of our method is to derive three-sphere inequalities and then apply them to obtain doubling inequalities and the maximal vanishing order. Both steps require delicate choices of cut-off functions. Nevertheless, this method is quite versatile and can be adopted to treat many equations or even systems. The present work is an interesting application of the ideas of [9] to the l th power of Laplacian with singular coefficients. The power $l = 2$ is the most interesting and useful case. It corresponds to the biharmonic operator with third order derivatives. Our work provides a quantitative estimate of the strong unique continuation for this equation. To our best knowledge, this quantitative estimate has not been derived before.

We now state main results of the paper. Assume that $B_{R'_0} \subset \Omega$ for some $R'_0 > 0$.

Theorem 1.1 *There exists a positive number $\tilde{R}_0 < e^{-1/2}$ such that if $0 <$*

$r_1 < r_2 < r_3 \leq R'_0$ and $r_1/r_3 < r_2/r_3 < \tilde{R}_0$, then

$$\int_{|x|<r_2} |u|^2 dx \leq C \left(\int_{|x|<r_1} |u|^2 dx \right)^\tau \left(\int_{|x|<r_3} |u|^2 dx \right)^{1-\tau} \quad (1.2)$$

for $u \in H^{2l}(B_{R'_0})$ satisfying (1.1) in $B_{R'_0}$, where C and $0 < \tau < 1$ depend on r_1/r_3 , r_2/r_3 , n , l , and K_0 .

Remark 1.1 From the proof, the constants C and τ can be explicitly written as $C = \max\{C_0(r_2/r_1)^n, \exp(B\beta_0)\}$ and $\tau = B/(A+B)$, where $C_0 > 1$ and β_0 are constants depending on n, l, K_0 and

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A(r_1/r_3, r_2/r_3) = (\log(r_1/r_3) - 1)^2 - (\log(r_2/r_3))^2, \\ B &= B(r_2/r_3) = -1 - 2\log(r_2/r_3). \end{aligned}$$

The explicit forms of these constants are important in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.2 Let $u \in H_{loc}^{2l}(\Omega)$ be a nonzero solution to (1.1). Then we can find a constant R_2 (depending on n, l, ϵ, K_0) and a constant m_1 (depending on $n, l, \epsilon, K_0, \|u\|_{L^2(|x|<R_2^2)}/\|u\|_{L^2(|x|<R_2^4)})$ satisfying

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{R^{m_1}} \int_{|x|<R} |u|^2 dx > 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Theorem 1.3 Let $u \in H_{loc}^{2l}(\Omega)$ be a nonzero solution to (1.1). Then there exist positive constants R_3 (depending on n, l, ϵ, K_0) and C_3 (depending on n, l, ϵ, K_0, m_1) such that if $0 < r \leq R_3$, then

$$\int_{|x| \leq 2r} |u|^2 dx \leq C_3 \int_{|x| \leq r} |u|^2 dx, \quad (1.4)$$

where m_1 is the constant obtained in Theorem 1.2.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1.1-1.3.

2 Three-sphere inequalities

We will prove Theorem 1.1 in this section. To begin, we recall a Carleman estimate with weight $\varphi_\beta = \varphi_\beta(x) = \exp(\frac{\beta}{2}(\log|x|)^2)$ given in [8].

Lemma 2.1 [8, Corollary 3.3] *There exist a sufficiently large number $\beta_0 > 0$ and a sufficiently small number $r_0 > 0$, depending on n and l , such that for all $u \in U_{r_0}$ with $0 < r_0 < e^{-1}$, $\beta \geq \beta_0$, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l} \beta^{3l-2|\alpha|} \int \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{2|\alpha|-n} (\log|x|)^{2l-2|\alpha|} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \\ & \leq \tilde{C}_0 \int \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{4l-n} |\Delta^l u|^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $U_{r_0} = \{u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) : \text{supp}(u) \subset B_{r_0}\}$ and \tilde{C}_0 is a positive constant depending on n and l . Here $e = \exp(1)$.

Remark 2.1 *The estimate (2.1) in Lemma 2.1 remains valid if we assume $u \in H_{loc}^{2l}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ with compact support. This can be easily obtained by cutting off u for small $|x|$ and regularizing.*

We first consider the case where $0 < r_1 < r_2 < R < 1/e$ and $B_R \subset \Omega$. The constant R will be chosen later. To use the estimate (2.1), we need to cut-off u . So let $\xi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $0 \leq \xi(x) \leq 1$ and

$$\xi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & |x| \leq r_1/e, \\ 1, & r_1/2 < |x| < er_2, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 3r_2. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that for all multiindex α

$$\begin{cases} |D^\alpha \xi| = O(r_1^{-|\alpha|}) \text{ for all } r_1/e \leq |x| \leq r_1/2 \\ |D^\alpha \xi| = O(r_2^{-|\alpha|}) \text{ for all } er_2 \leq |x| \leq 3r_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

On the other hand, repeating the proof of Corollary 17.1.4 in [7], we can show that

$$\int_{a_1 r < |x| < a_2 r} ||x|^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha u|^2 dx \leq C' \int_{a_3 r < |x| < a_4 r} |u|^2 dx, \quad |\alpha| \leq 2l, \quad (2.3)$$

for all $0 < a_3 < a_1 < a_2 < a_4$ such that $B_{a_4 r} \subset \Omega$, where the constant C' is independent of r and u .

Noting that the commutator $[\Delta^l, \xi]$ is a $2l - 1$ order differential operator. Applying (2.1) to ξu and using (1.1), (2.2), (2.3) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l} \beta^{3l-2|\alpha|} \int_{r_1/2 < |x| < er_2} \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{2|\alpha|-n} (\log |x|)^{2l-2|\alpha|} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \\
& \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l} \beta^{3l-2|\alpha|} \int \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{2|\alpha|-n} (\log |x|)^{2l-2|\alpha|} |D^\alpha(\xi u)|^2 dx \\
& \leq \tilde{C}_0 \int \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{4l-n} |\Delta^l(\xi u)|^2 dx \\
& \leq 2\tilde{C}_0 \int \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{4l-n} \xi^2 (K_0 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l-1} |x|^{-2l+|\alpha|} |D^\alpha u| + K_0 \sum_{|\alpha|=l}^{[3l/2]} |x|^{-2l+|\alpha|+\epsilon} |D^\alpha u|)^2 dx \\
& \quad + 2\tilde{C}_0 \int \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{4l-n} |[\Delta^l, \xi]u|^2 dx \\
& \leq \tilde{C}_1 \left\{ \int_{r_1/2 < |x| < er_2} \varphi_\beta^2 \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l-1} |x|^{2|\alpha|-n} |D^\alpha u|^2 + \sum_{|\alpha|=l}^{[3l/2]} |x|^{2|\alpha|-n+2\epsilon} |D^\alpha u|^2 \right) dx \right. \\
& \quad + \int_{r_1/e < |x| < r_1/2} \varphi_\beta^2 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l-1} |x|^{2|\alpha|-n} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{er_2 < |x| < 3r_2} \varphi_\beta^2 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l-1} |x|^{2|\alpha|-n} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \right\} \\
& \leq \tilde{C}_2 \left\{ \int_{r_1/2 < |x| < er_2} \varphi_\beta^2 \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l-1} |x|^{2|\alpha|-n} |D^\alpha u|^2 + \sum_{|\alpha|=l}^{[3l/2]} |x|^{2|\alpha|-n+2\epsilon} |D^\alpha u|^2 \right) dx \right. \\
& \quad + r_1^{-n} \varphi_\beta^2(r_1/e) \int_{r_1/e < |x| < r_1/2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l-1} ||x|^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha u|^2 dx \\
& \quad \left. + r_2^{-n} \varphi_\beta^2(er_2) \int_{er_2 < |x| < 3r_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l-1} ||x|^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha u|^2 dx \right\} \\
& \leq \tilde{C}_3 \left\{ \int_{r_1/2 < |x| < er_2} \varphi_\beta^2 \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l-1} |x|^{2|\alpha|-n} |D^\alpha u|^2 + \sum_{|\alpha|=l}^{[3l/2]} |x|^{2|\alpha|-n+2\epsilon} |D^\alpha u|^2 \right) dx \right. \\
& \quad \left. + r_1^{-n} \varphi_\beta^2(r_1/e) \int_{r_1/4 < |x| < r_1} |u|^2 dx + r_2^{-n} \varphi_\beta^2(er_2) \int_{2r_2 < |x| < 4r_2} |u|^2 dx \right\}, \tag{2.4}
\end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{C}_1 , \tilde{C}_2 , and \tilde{C}_3 are independent of r_1 , r_2 , and u .

We now choose $r_0 < e^{-\epsilon^{-1}([3l/2]-l)-1}$ small enough such that

$$\begin{cases} (\log(er_0))^{-2} \leq \frac{1}{2\tilde{C}_3} \\ (er_0)^{2\epsilon}(\log(er_0))^{2([3l/2]-l)} \leq \frac{1}{2\tilde{C}_3}. \end{cases}$$

Letting $R \leq r_0$ and $\beta \geq \beta_0 \geq \max\{2\tilde{C}_3, 1\}$, we can absorb the integral over $r_1/2 < |x| < er_2$ on the right side of (2.4) into its left side to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{r_1/2 < |x| < er_2} \varphi_\beta^2 \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l-1} |x|^{2|\alpha|-n} |D^\alpha u|^2 + \sum_{|\alpha|=l}^{[3l/2]} |x|^{2|\alpha|-n+2\epsilon} |D^\alpha u|^2 \right) dx \\ & \leq \tilde{C}_4 \left\{ r_1^{-n} \varphi_\beta^2(r_1/e) \int_{r_1/4 < |x| < r_1} |u|^2 dx + r_2^{-n} \varphi_\beta^2(er_2) \int_{2r_2 < |x| < 4r_2} |u|^2 dx \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $\tilde{C}_4 = 1/\tilde{C}_3$. Using (2.5) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & r_2^{-n} \varphi_\beta^2(r_2) \int_{r_1/2 < |x| < r_2} |u|^2 dx \\ & \leq \int_{r_1/2 < |x| < er_2} \varphi_\beta^2 |x|^{-n} |u|^2 dx \\ & \leq \tilde{C}_4 \left\{ r_1^{-n} \varphi_\beta^2(r_1/e) \int_{r_1/4 < |x| < r_1} |u|^2 dx + r_2^{-n} \varphi_\beta^2(er_2) \int_{2r_2 < |x| < 4r_2} |u|^2 dx \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Dividing $r_2^{-n} \varphi_\beta^2(r_2)$ on the both sides of (2.6) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{r_1/2 < |x| < r_2} |u|^2 dx \\ & \leq \tilde{C}_4 \left\{ (r_2/r_1)^n [\varphi_\beta^2(r_1/e)/\varphi_\beta^2(r_2)] \int_{r_1/4 < |x| < r_1} |u|^2 dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + [\varphi_\beta^2(er_2)/\varphi_\beta^2(r_2)] \int_{2r_2 < |x| < 4r_2} |u|^2 dx \right\} \\ & \leq \tilde{C}_5 \left\{ (r_2/r_1)^n [\varphi_\beta^2(r_1/e)/\varphi_\beta^2(r_2)] \int_{|x| < r_1} |u|^2 dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (r_2/r_1)^n [\varphi_\beta^2(er_2)/\varphi_\beta^2(r_2)] \int_{|x| < 4r_2} |u|^2 dx \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where $\tilde{C}_5 = \max\{\tilde{C}_4, 1\}$. With such choice of \tilde{C}_5 , we can see that

$$\tilde{C}_5(r_2/r_1)^n[\varphi_\beta^2(r_1/e)/\varphi_\beta^2(r_2)] > 1$$

for all $0 < r_1 < r_2$. Adding $\int_{|x|<r_1/2} |u|^2 dx$ to both sides of (2.7) and choosing $r_2 \leq R = \min\{r_0, 1/4\}$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x|<r_2} |u|^2 dx \\ & \leq 2\tilde{C}_5(r_2/r_1)^n[\varphi_\beta^2(r_1/e)/\varphi_\beta^2(r_2)] \int_{|x|<r_1} |u|^2 dx \\ & \quad + 2\tilde{C}_5(r_2/r_1)^n[\varphi_\beta^2(er_2)/\varphi_\beta^2(r_2)] \int_{|x|<1} |u|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

By denoting

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \beta^{-1} \log[\varphi_\beta^2(r_1/e)/\varphi_\beta^2(r_2)] = (\log r_1 - 1)^2 - (\log r_2)^2 > 0, \\ B &= -\beta^{-1} \log[\varphi_\beta^2(er_2)/\varphi_\beta^2(r_2)] = -1 - 2 \log r_2 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

(2.8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x|<r_2} |u|^2 dx \\ & \leq 2\tilde{C}_5(r_2/r_1)^n \left\{ \exp(A\beta) \int_{|x|<r_1} |u|^2 dx + \exp(-B\beta) \int_{|x|<1} |u|^2 dx \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

To further simplify the terms on the right hand side of (2.9), we consider two cases. If

$$\int_{|x|<r_1} |u|^2 dx \neq 0$$

and

$$\exp(A\beta_0) \int_{|x|<r_1} |u|^2 dx < \exp(-B\beta_0) \int_{|x|<1} |u|^2 dx,$$

then we can pick a $\beta > \beta_0$ such that

$$\exp(A\beta) \int_{|x|<r_1} |u|^2 dx = \exp(-B\beta) \int_{|x|<1} |u|^2 dx.$$

Using such β , we obtain from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x|<r_2} |u|^2 dx \\
& \leq 4\tilde{C}_5(r_2/r_1)^n \exp(A\beta) \int_{|x|<r_1} |u|^2 dx \\
& = 4\tilde{C}_5(r_2/r_1)^n \left(\int_{|x|<r_1} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{B}{A+B}} \left(\int_{|x|<1} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{A}{A+B}}. \quad (2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

If

$$\int_{|x|<r_1} |u|^2 dx = 0,$$

then it follows from (2.9) that

$$\int_{|x|<r_2} |u|^2 dx = 0$$

since we can take β arbitrarily large. The three-sphere inequality obviously holds.

On the other hand, if

$$\exp(-B\beta_0) \int_{|x|<1} |u|^2 dx \leq \exp(A\beta_0) \int_{|x|<r_1} |u|^2 dx,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x|<r_2} |u|^2 dx \\
& \leq \left(\int_{|x|<1} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{B}{A+B}} \left(\int_{|x|<1} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{A}{A+B}} \\
& \leq \exp(B\beta_0) \left(\int_{|x|<r_1} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{B}{A+B}} \left(\int_{|x|<1} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{A}{A+B}}. \quad (2.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting together (2.10), (2.11), and setting $\tilde{C}_6 = \max\{4\tilde{C}_5(r_2/r_1)^n, \exp(B\beta_0)\}$, we arrive at

$$\int_{|x|<r_2} |u|^2 dx \leq \tilde{C}_6 \left(\int_{|x|<r_1} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{B}{A+B}} \left(\int_{|x|<1} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{A}{A+B}}. \quad (2.12)$$

Now for the general case, we take $\tilde{R}_0 = R$ and consider $0 < r_1 < r_2 < r_3$ with $r_1/r_3 < r_2/r_3 \leq \tilde{R}_0$. By scaling, i.e. defining $\hat{u}(y) := u(r_3 y)$, we derive from (2.12) that

$$\int_{|y| < r_2/r_3} |\hat{u}|^2 dy \leq C \left(\int_{|y| < r_1/r_3} |\hat{u}|^2 dy \right)^\tau \left(\int_{|y| < 1} |\hat{u}|^2 dy \right)^{1-\tau}, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\tau = B/(A + B)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A(r_1/r_3, r_2/r_3) = (\log(r_1/r_3) - 1)^2 - (\log(r_2/r_3))^2, \\ B &= B(r_2/r_3) = -1 - 2 \log(r_2/r_3), \end{aligned}$$

and $C = \max\{4\tilde{C}_5(r_2/r_1)^n, \exp(B\beta_0)\}$. Note that \tilde{C}_5 can be chosen independent of the scaling factor r_3 provided $r_3 < 1$. Replacing the variable $y = x/r_3$ in (2.13) gives

$$\int_{|x| < r_2} |u|^2 dx \leq C \left(\int_{|x| < r_1} |u|^2 dx \right)^\tau \left(\int_{|x| < r_3} |u|^2 dx \right)^{1-\tau}.$$

This ends the proof. \square

3 Doubling inequalities and maximal vanishing order

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. We begin with another Carleman estimate derived in [8, Lemma 2.1]: for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and for any $m \in \{k + 1/2, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we have the following estimate

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l} \int m^{2l-2|\alpha|} |x|^{-2m+2|\alpha|-n} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \leq C \int |x|^{-2m+4l-n} |\Delta^l u|^2 dx, \quad (3.1)$$

where C depends only on the dimension n and the power l .

Remark 3.1 *Using the cut-off function and regularization, estimate (3.1) remains valid for any fixed m if $u \in H_{loc}^{2l}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ with compact support.*

In view of Remark 3.1, we can apply (3.1) to the function χu with $\chi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Thus, we define $\chi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ as

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x| \leq \delta/3, \\ 1 & \text{in } \delta/2 \leq |x| \leq (R_0 + 1)R_0R/4 = r_4R, \\ 0 & \text{if } 2r_4R \leq |x|, \end{cases}$$

where $\delta \leq R_0^2 R/4$, $R_0 > 0$ is a small number which will be chosen later and $R < 1$ is sufficiently small. Here the number R is not yet fixed and is given by $R = (\gamma m)^{-l/2\epsilon}$, where $\gamma > 0$ is a large constant which will be determined later. Using the estimate (3.1) and the equation (1.1), we can derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l} \int_{\delta/2 \leq |x| \leq r_4 R} m^{2l-2|\alpha|} |x|^{-2m+2|\alpha|-n} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \\
& \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l} \int m^{2l-2|\alpha|} |x|^{-2m+2|\alpha|-n} |D^\alpha(\chi u)|^2 dx \\
& \leq C \int |x|^{-2m+4l-n} |\Delta^l(\chi u)|^2 dx \\
& = C \int_{\delta/2 \leq |x| \leq r_4 R} |x|^{-2m+4l-n} |\Delta^l u|^2 dx + C \int_{|x| > r_4 R} |x|^{-2m+4l-n} |\Delta^l(\chi u)|^2 dx \\
& \quad + C \int_{\delta/3 \leq |x| \leq \delta/2} |x|^{-2m+4l-n} |\Delta^l(\chi u)|^2 dx \\
& \leq C' K_0^2 \int_{\delta/2 \leq |x| \leq r_4 R} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l-1} |x|^{2|\alpha|-n-2m} |D^\alpha u|^2 + \sum_{|\alpha|=l}^{[3l/2]} |x|^{2|\alpha|-n-2m+2\epsilon} |D^\alpha u|^2 \right) dx \\
& \quad + C \int_{|x| > r_4 R} |x|^{-2m+4l-n} |\Delta^l(\chi u)|^2 dx + C \int_{\delta/3 \leq |x| \leq \delta/2} |x|^{-2m+4l-n} |\Delta^l(\chi u)|^2 dx \\
& \leq C' K_0^2 (r_4 R)^{2\epsilon} \int_{\delta/2 \leq |x| \leq r_4 R} \sum_{|\alpha|=l}^{[3l/2]} |x|^{2|\alpha|-n-2m} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \\
& \quad + C' K_0^2 \int_{\delta/2 \leq |x| \leq r_4 R} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l-1} |x|^{2|\alpha|-n-2m} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \\
& \quad + C \int_{|x| > r_4 R} |x|^{-2m+4l-n} |\Delta^l(\chi u)|^2 dx + C \int_{\delta/3 \leq |x| \leq \delta/2} |x|^{-2m+4l-n} |\Delta^l(\chi u)|^2 dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where the constant C' depends on n and l .

By carefully checking terms on both sides of (3.2), we now choose $\gamma \geq (2C' K_0^2)^{1/l}$ and thus

$$R^{2\epsilon} = (\gamma m)^{-l} \leq \frac{m^{-l}}{2C' K_0^2}.$$

Hence, choosing $R_0 < 1$ (suffices to guarantee $r_4^{2/\epsilon} = R_0^{2\epsilon}(R_0 + 1)^{2\epsilon}/4^{2\epsilon} < 1$) and m such that $m^2 > 2C'K_0^2$, we can remove the first two terms on the right hand side of the last inequality in (3.2) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l} \int_{\delta/2 \leq |x| \leq r_4 R} m^{2l-2|\alpha|} |x|^{-2m+2|\alpha|-n} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \\
& \leq 2C \int_{\delta/3 < |x| < \delta/2} |x|^{-2m+4l-n} |\Delta^l(\chi u)|^2 dx \\
& \quad + 2C \int_{r_4 R < |x| < 2r_4 R} |x|^{-2m+4l-n} |\Delta^l(\chi u)|^2 dx. \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

In view of the definition of χ , it is easy to see that for all multiindex α

$$\begin{cases} |D^\alpha \chi| = O(\delta^{-|\alpha|}) \text{ for all } \delta/3 < |x| < \delta/2, \\ |D^\alpha \chi| = O((r_4 R)^{-|\alpha|}) \text{ for all } r_4 R < |x| < 2r_4 R. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Note that $R_0^2 \leq r_4$ provided $R_0 \leq 1/3$. Therefore, using (3.4) and (2.3) in (3.3), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
& m^2(2\delta)^{-2m-n} \int_{\delta/2 < |x| \leq 2\delta} |u|^2 dx + m^2(R_0^2 R)^{-2m-n} \int_{2\delta < |x| \leq R_0^2 R} |u|^2 dx \\
& \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l} \int_{\delta/2 \leq |x| \leq r_4 R} m^{2l-2|\alpha|} |x|^{-2m+2|\alpha|-n} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \\
& \leq C'' \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l} \delta^{-4l+2|\alpha|} \int_{\delta/3 < |x| < \delta/2} |x|^{-2m+4l-n} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \\
& \quad + C'' \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l} (r_4 R)^{-4l+2|\alpha|} \int_{r_4 R < |x| < 2r_4 R} |x|^{-2m+4l-n} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \\
& \leq \tilde{C}' \delta^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq \delta} |u|^2 dx + C'' (r_4 R)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq R_0 R} |u|^2 dx, \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{C}' = C'' 3^{2m+n}$ and C'' is independent of R_0 , R , and m .

We then add $m^2(2\delta)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq \delta/2} |u|^2 dx$ to both sides of (3.5) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} m^2 (2\delta)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq 2\delta} |u|^2 dx + m^2 (R_0^2 R)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq R_0^2 R} |u|^2 dx \\
= & \frac{1}{2} m^2 (2\delta)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq 2\delta} |u|^2 dx + m^2 (R_0^2 R)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq 2\delta} |u|^2 dx \\
& + m^2 (R_0^2 R)^{-2m-n} \int_{2\delta < |x| \leq R_0^2 R} |u|^2 dx \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2} m^2 (2\delta)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq 2\delta} |u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} m^2 (2\delta)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq 2\delta} |u|^2 dx \\
& + m^2 (R_0^2 R)^{-2m-n} \int_{2\delta < |x| \leq R_0^2 R} |u|^2 dx \\
\leq & \tilde{C}'' \delta^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq \delta} |u|^2 dx + C'' (r_4 R)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq R_0 R} |u|^2 dx \\
= & \tilde{C}'' \delta^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq \delta} |u|^2 dx \\
& + m^2 (R_0^2 R)^{-2m-n} C'' m^{-2} \left(\frac{R_0^2}{r_4} \right)^{2m+n} \int_{|x| \leq R_0 R} |u|^2 dx \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

with $\tilde{C}'' = \tilde{C}' + 2^{2m+n} m^2$.

We first observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
C'' m^{-2} \left(\frac{R_0^2}{r_4} \right)^{2m+n} &= C'' m^{-2} \left(\frac{4R_0}{R_0 + 1} \right)^{2m+n} \\
&\leq C'' m^{-2} (4R_0)^{2m+n} \leq \exp(-2m)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $R_0 \leq 1/16$ and $m^2 \geq C''$. Thus, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} m^2 (2\delta)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq 2\delta} |u|^2 dx + m^2 (R_0^2 R)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq R_0^2 R} |u|^2 dx \\
\leq & \tilde{C}'' \delta^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq \delta} |u|^2 dx \\
& + m^2 (R_0^2 R)^{-2m-n} \exp(-2m) \int_{|x| \leq R_0 R} |u|^2 dx. \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

It should be noted that (3.7) is valid for all $m = j + \frac{1}{2}$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \geq j_0$, where j_0 depends on n, l, ϵ , and K_0 . Setting $R_j = (\gamma(j + \frac{1}{2}))^{-l/2\epsilon}$ and

using the relation $m = (\gamma)^{-1}(R)^{-2\epsilon/l}$, we get from (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}m^2(2\delta)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq 2\delta} |u|^2 dx + m^2(R_0^2 R_j)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq R_0^2 R_j} |u|^2 dx \\
& \leq \tilde{C}'' \delta^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq \delta} |u|^2 dx \\
& \quad + m^2(R_0^2 R_j)^{-2m-n} \exp(-2cR_j^{-2\epsilon/l}) \int_{|x| \leq R_0 R_j} |u|^2 dx
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

for all $j \geq j_0$ and $c = \gamma^{-1}$. We now let j_0 be large enough such that

$$R_{j+1} < R_j < 2R_{j+1} \quad \text{for all } j \geq j_0.$$

Thus, if $R_{j+1} < R \leq R_j$ for $j \geq j_0$, we can conclude that

$$\begin{cases} \int_{|x| \leq R_0^2 R} |u|^2 dx \leq \int_{|x| \leq R_0^2 R_j} |u|^2 dx, \\ \exp(-2cR_j^{-2\epsilon/l}) \int_{|x| \leq R_0 R_j} |u|^2 dx \leq \exp(-cR^{-2\epsilon/l}) \int_{|x| \leq R} |u|^2 dx, \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

where we have used the inequality $R_0 R_j \leq R_j/16 < R_{j+1}$ to derive the second inequality above. Namely, we have from (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}m^2(2\delta)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq 2\delta} |u|^2 dx + m^2(R_0^2 R_j)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq R_0^2 R} |u|^2 dx \\
& \leq \tilde{C}'' \delta^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq \delta} |u|^2 dx \\
& \quad + m^2(R_0^2 R_j)^{-2m-n} \exp(-cR^{-2\epsilon/l}) \int_{|x| \leq R} |u|^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

If there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$R_{j+1} < R_0^{2s} \leq R_j \quad \text{for some } j \geq j_0, \tag{3.11}$$

then replacing R by R_0^{2s} in (3.10) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}m^2(2\delta)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq 2\delta} |u|^2 dx + m^2(R_0^2 R_j)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq R_0^{2s+2}} |u|^2 dx \\
& \leq \tilde{C}'' \delta^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq \delta} |u|^2 dx \\
& \quad + m^2(R_0^2 R_j)^{-2m-n} \exp(-cR_0^{-4s\epsilon/l}) \int_{|x| \leq R_0^{2s}} |u|^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Here s and R_0 are yet to be determined. The trick now is to find suitable s and R_0 satisfying (3.11) and the inequality

$$\exp(-cR_0^{-4s\epsilon/l}) \int_{|x| \leq R_0^{2s}} |u|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| \leq R_0^{2s+2}} |u|^2 dx \quad (3.13)$$

holds with such choices of s and R_0 .

It is time to use the three-sphere inequality (1.2). To this end, we choose $r_1 = R_0^{2k+2}$, $r_2 = R_0^{2k}$ and $r_3 = R_0^{2k-2}$ for $k \geq 1$. Note that $r_1/r_3 < r_2/r_3 \leq R_0^2 \leq R_0$. Thus (1.2) implies

$$\int_{|x| < R_0^{2k}} |u|^2 dx / \int_{|x| < R_0^{2k+2}} |u|^2 dx \leq C^{1/\tau} \left(\int_{|x| < R_0^{2k-2}} |u|^2 dx / \int_{|x| < R_0^{2k}} |u|^2 dx \right)^a, \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$C = \max\{C_0 R_0^{-2n}, \exp(\beta_0(-1 - 4 \log R_0))\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a = \frac{1 - \tau}{\tau} = \frac{A}{B} &= \frac{(\log(r_1/r_3) - 1)^2 - (\log(r_2/r_3))^2}{-1 - 2 \log(r_2/r_3)} \\ &= \frac{(4 \log R_0 - 1)^2 - (2 \log R_0)^2}{-1 - 4 \log R_0}. \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to see that

$$\begin{cases} 1 < C \leq C_0 R_0^{-\beta_1}, \\ 2 < a \leq -4 \log R_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

where $\beta_1 = \max\{2n, 4\beta_0\}$ and if R_0 is sufficiently small, e.g., $R_0 \leq e^{-4}$. Combining (3.15) and using (3.14) recursively, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x| \leq R_0^{2s}} |u|^2 dx / \int_{|x| \leq R_0^{2s+2}} |u|^2 dx \\ & \leq C^{1/\tau} \left(\int_{|x| < R_0^{2s-2}} |u|^2 dx / \int_{|x| < R_0^{2s}} |u|^2 dx \right)^a \\ & \leq C^{\frac{a^{s-1}-1}{\tau(a-1)}} \left(\int_{|x| < R_0^2} |u|^2 dx / \int_{|x| < R_0^4} |u|^2 dx \right)^{a^{s-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

for all $s \geq 1$. Now from the definition of a , we have $\tau = 1/(a+1)$ and thus

$$\frac{a^{s-1} - 1}{\tau(a-1)} = \frac{a+1}{a-1}(a^{s-1} - 1) \leq 3a^{s-1}.$$

Then it follows from (3.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x| \leq R_0^{2s}} |u|^2 dx / \int_{|x| \leq R_0^{2s+2}} |u|^2 dx \\ & \leq C^{3(-4 \log R_0)^{s-1}} \left(\int_{|x| < R_0^2} |u|^2 dx / \int_{|x| < R_0^4} |u|^2 dx \right)^{a^{s-1}} \\ & \leq (C_0^3(R_0)^{-3\beta_1})^{(-4 \log R_0)^{s-1}} \left(\int_{|x| < R_0^2} |u|^2 dx / \int_{|x| < R_0^4} |u|^2 dx \right)^{a^{s-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Thus, by (3.17), we can get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(-cR_0^{-4s\epsilon/l}) \int_{|x| \leq R_0^{2s}} |u|^2 dx \\ & \leq \exp(-cR_0^{-4s\epsilon/l}) (C_0^3(R_0)^{-3\beta_1})^{(-4 \log R_0)^{s-1}} \\ & \quad \left(\int_{|x| < R_0^2} |u|^2 dx / \int_{|x| < R_0^4} |u|^2 dx \right)^{a^{s-1}} \int_{|x| \leq R_0^{2s+2}} |u|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Let $\mu = -\log R_0$, then if $R_0 (\leq \min\{e^{-4}, \sqrt{\tilde{R}_0}\})$ is sufficiently small, i.e., μ is sufficiently large, we can see that

$$4t\epsilon\mu/l > (t-1) \log(4\mu) + \log(\log C_0^3 + 3\beta_1\mu) - \log(c/4),$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$. In other words, we have that for R_0 small

$$(C_0^3 R_0^{-3\beta_1})^{(-4 \log R_0)^{t-1}} < \exp(cR_0^{-4t\epsilon/l}/4) < (1/2) \exp(cR_0^{-4t\epsilon/l}/2), \quad (3.19)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$. We now fix such R_0 so that (3.19) holds and

$$-\frac{4\epsilon}{l} \log R_0 - 2 \log a > 0.$$

It is a key step in our proof that we can find a universal constant R_0 . After fixing R_0 , we then define a number t_0 , depending on R_0 and u , as

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 &= (\log 2 - \log(ac) + \log \log \left(\int_{|x| < R_0^2} |u|^2 dx / \int_{|x| < R_0^4} |u|^2 dx \right)) \\ &\quad \times \left(-\frac{4\epsilon}{l} \log R_0 - \log a \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

With the choice of t_0 , we can see that

$$\left(\int_{|x| < R_0^2} |u|^2 dx / \int_{|x| < R_0^4} |u|^2 dx \right)^{a^{t-1}} \leq \exp(cR_0^{-4t\epsilon/l}/2) \quad (3.20)$$

for all $t \geq t_0$.

Let s_1 be the smallest positive integer such that $s_1 \geq t_0$. If

$$R_0^{2s_1} \leq R_{j_0} = (\gamma(j_0 + 1/2))^{-l/2\epsilon}, \quad (3.21)$$

then we can find a $j_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j_1 \geq j_0$ such that (3.11) holds, i.e.,

$$R_{j_1+1} < R_0^{2s_1} \leq R_{j_1}.$$

On the other hand, if

$$R_0^{2s_1} > R_{j_0}, \quad (3.22)$$

then we pick the smallest positive integer $s_2 > s_1$ such that $R_0^{2s_2} \leq R_{j_0}$ and thus we can also find a $j_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j_1 \geq j_0$ for which (3.11) holds. We now define

$$s = \begin{cases} s_1 & \text{if (3.21) holds,} \\ s_2 & \text{if (3.22) holds.} \end{cases}$$

It is important to note that with such s , (3.11) is satisfied for some j_1 and (3.19), (3.20) hold. Therefore, we set $m_1 = n + 2(j_1 + 1/2)$ and $m = (m_1 - n)/2$. Combining (3.18), (3.19) and (3.20) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(-cR_0^{-4s\epsilon/l}) \int_{|x| \leq R_0^{2s}} |u|^2 dx \\ & \leq \exp(-cR_0^{-4s\epsilon/l}) (C_0^3(R_0)^{-3\beta_1})^{(-3 \log R_0)^{s-1}} \\ & \quad \left(\int_{|x| < R_0^2} |u|^2 dx / \int_{|x| < R_0^4} |u|^2 dx \right)^{a^{(s-1)}} \int_{|x| \leq R_0^{2s+2}} |u|^2 dx. \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| \leq R_0^{2s+2}} |u|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

which is (3.13). Using (3.13) in (3.12), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} m^2 (2\delta)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq 2\delta} |u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} m^2 (R_0^2 R_{j_1})^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq R_0^{2s+2}} |u|^2 dx \\ & \leq \tilde{C}'' \delta^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq \delta} |u|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

From (3.23), we get that

$$\frac{(m_1 - n)^2}{8\tilde{C}'''}(R_0^2 R_{j_1})^{-m_1} \int_{|x| \leq R_0^{2s+2}} |u|^2 dx \leq \delta^{-m_1} \int_{|x| \leq \delta} |u|^2 dx \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} m^2 (2\delta)^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq 2\delta} |u|^2 dx \leq \tilde{C}'' \delta^{-2m-n} \int_{|x| \leq \delta} |u|^2 dx$$

which implies

$$\int_{|x| \leq 2\delta} |u|^2 dx \leq \frac{8\tilde{C}'''}{(m_1 - n)^2} 2^{m_1} \int_{|x| \leq \delta} |u|^2 dx. \quad (3.25)$$

The estimates (3.24) and (3.25) are valid for all $\delta \leq R_0^{2s+2}/4$. Therefore, (1.3) holds with $R_2 = R_0$. (1.4) holds with $R_3 = R_0^{2s+2}/8$ and $C_3 = \frac{8\tilde{C}'''}{(m_1 - n)^2} 2^{m_1}$ and the proof is now complete. \square

Acknowledgements

The first and third authors are supported in part by the National Science Council of Taiwan.

References

- [1] G. Alessandrini, E. Beretta, E. Rosset, and S. Vessella, *Optimal stability for elliptic boundary value problems with unknown boundaries*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci, **29** (2000), 755-786.
- [2] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman, *Nodal sets of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), 161-183.
- [3] N. Garofalo and F.H. Lin, *Monotonicity properties of variational integrals, A_p weights and unique continuation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **35** (1986), 245-267.
- [4] N. Garofalo and F.H. Lin, *Unique continuation for elliptic operators: a geometric-variational approach*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **40**, 347-366, 1987.

- [5] F. Colombini and C. Grammatico, *Some remarks on strong unique continuation for the Laplacian and its powers*, Comm. PDE, **24** (1999), 1079-1094.
- [6] R. Hardt and L. Simon, *Nodal sets for solutions of elliptic equations*, J. Diff. Geom., **30** (1989), 505-522.
- [7] L. Hörmander, "The analysis of linear partial differential operators", Vol. 3, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1985.
- [8] C.L. Lin, *Strong unique continuation for m -th powers of a Laplaceian operator with singular coefficients*, Proc. of AMS, **135** (2007), 569–578.
- [9] C.L. Lin, G. Nakamura, and J.-N. Wang, *Quantitative uniqueness for second order elliptic operators with strongly singular coefficients*, Preprint.
- [10] F.H. Lin, *Nodal sets of solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **44** (1991), 287-308.
- [11] M. Protter, *Unique continuation for elliptic equations*, Trans. of AMS, **95** (1960), 81-91.